Total survey respondents: 3699 Response rate: 97 %

Engaging and Supporting All Students in Learning

How well did your teacher preparation program prepare you to do each of the following as a teacher?

1. Use knowledge of students' strengths and prior experiences to engage them in learning	DNR 29	0.8%		
1 = Not at all	3	0.1%	4.35	
2 = Poorly	50	1.4%	0.76	
3 = Adequately	476	13.0%	0.70	_
4 = Well	1255	34.2%		
5 = Very well	1886	51.4%		
5 = very men				
2. Connect classroom learning to the real world	3662	99.0%		
	DNR 37	1.0%		_
1 = Not at all	6	0.2%	4.28	
2 = Poorly	104	2.8%	0.83	
3 = Adequately	548	15.0%		
4 = Well	1204	32.9%		
5 = Very well	1800	49.2%		
3. Engage students in inquiry, problem solving, and reflection to promot	3665	99.1%		
their critical thinking	DNR 34	0.9%		
1 = Not at all	9	0.2%	4.33	Ī
2 = Poorly	70	1.9%	0.80	
3 = Adequately	499	13.6%		_
4 = Well	1227	33.5%		
5 = Very well	1860	50.8%		
	3659	98.9%		
4. Meet the instructional needs of English learners	DNR 40	1.1%		
1 = Not at all	5	0.1%	4.22	Ī
2 = Poorly	99	2.7%	0.84	
3 = Adequately	667	18.2%		
4 = Well	1213	33.2%		
5 = Very well	1675	45.8%		
5. Identify and address special learning needs with appropriate teaching	3665	99.1%		
strategies	DNR 34	0.9%		
1 = Not at all	8	0.2%	4.18	
2 = Poorly	128	3.5%	0.87	
3 = Adequately	670	18.3%		_
4 = Well	1252	34.2%		
5 = Very well	1607	43.8%		

Creating and Maintaining Effective Environments for Student Learning

How well did your teacher preparation program prepare you to do each of the following as a teacher?

6. Engage students in cooperative group work as well as independent		2677	72.4%
learning		DNR1022	27.6%
1 = 1	Not at all	3	0.1%
2 = F	Poorly	35	1.3%
3 = 4	Adequately	273	10.2%
4 = \	Well	813	30.4%
5 = \	Very well	1553	58.0%

4.45 **Mean** 0.74 **SD**

Total survey respondents: 3699 Response rate: 97 %

7. Establish and maintain a	safe and respectful learning environment for all	3645	98.5%		
students		DNR 54	1.5%		
1 =	Not at all	5	0.1%	4.48	Mean
2 =	Poorly	48	1.3%	0.74	SD
3 =	Adequately	359	9.8%		
4 =	Well	1000	27.4%		
5 =	Very well	2233	61.3%		
8. Create a productive learn	ing environment with high expectations for all	3646	98.6%		
students		DNR 53	1.4%		
1 =	Not at all	6	0.2%	4.40	Mean
2 =	Poorly	63	1.7%	0.77	SD
3 =	Adequately	421	11.5%		
4 =	Well	1147	31.5%		
5 =	Very well	2009	55.1%		

Understanding and Organizing Subject Matter for Student Learning

How well did your teacher preparation program prepare you to do each of the following as a teacher?

O. Davidas aussiauluse ta tar		3463	93.6%		
9. Develop curriculum to tea	ach content standards effectively	DNR 236	6.4%		
1 =	Not at all	14	0.4%	4.22	Mean
2 =	Poorly	119	3.4%	0.87	SD
3 =	Adequately	557	16.1%		
4 =	Well	1166	33.7%		
5 =	Very well	1607	46.4%		
10. Use effective instruction	al strategies to teach specific subject matter and	3469	93.8%		
skills		DNR 230	6.2%		
1 =	Not at all	13	0.4%	4.32	Mean
2 =	Poorly	79	2.3%	0.81	SD
3 =	Adequately	451	13.0%		
4 =	Well	1175	33.9%		
5 =	Very well	1751	50.5%		
11. Select, adapt, and deve	lop materials, resources, and technologies to	3467	93.7%		
make subject matter access	ible to all students	DNR 232	6.3%		
1 =	Not at all	9	0.3%	4.25	Mean
2 =	Poorly	77	2.2%	0.82	SD
3 =	Adequately	563	16.2%		
4 =	Well	1220	35.2%		
5 =	Very well	1598	46.1%		

Planning Instruction and Designing Learning Experiences for All Students

How well did your teacher preparation program prepare you to do each of the following as a teacher?

12. Plan instruction based on students' prior knowledge, academic readiness,	3463	93.6%	
language proficiency, cultural background, and individual development	DNR 236	6.4%	
1 = Not at all	7	0.2%	4.29
2 = Poorly	65	1.9%	0.80
3 = Adequately	519	15.0%	
4 = Well	1198	34.6%	
5 – Verv well	1674	48.3%	

Mean SD

Preliminary Single Subject Credential Program Completer Survey – 2017

Statewide Results

Total survey respondents: 3699 Response rate: 97 %

13. Plan and adapt instruction that incorporates appropriate strategies,	3468	93.8%	
resources and technologies to meet the learning needs of all students	DNR 231	6.2%	
1 = Not at all	5	0.1%	4
2 = Poorly	56	1.6%	0
3 = Adequately	498	14.4%	
4 = Well	1218	35.1%	
5 = Very well	1691	48.8%	

4.31 **Mean**0.78 **SD**

Date: 11/3/2017

Assessing Students for Learning

How well did your teacher preparation program prepare you to do each of the following as a teacher?

14. Develop and use assessment data from a variety of sources to establish	3463	93.6%		
learning goals and to plan, differentiate, and modify instruction	DNR 236	6.4%		
1 = Not at all	9	0.3%	4.21	Mean
2 = Poorly	102	2.9%	0.84	SD
3 = Adequately	575	16.6%		
4 = Well	1229	35.5%		
5 = Very well	1548	44.7%		
15. Involve all students in self-assessment, goal setting, and monitoring	3463	93.6%		
progress	DNR 236	6.4%		
1 = Not at all	16	0.5%	4.12	Mean
2 = Poorly	141	4.1%	0.89	SD
3 = Adequately	657	19.0%		
4 = Well	1248	36.0%		
5 = Very well	1401	40.5%		
	3466	93.7%		
16. Give productive feedback to students to guide their learning	DNR 233	6.3%		
1 = Not at all	11	0.3%	4.28	Mean
2 = Poorly	78	2.3%	0.82	
3 = Adequately	524	15.1%		
4 = Well	1178	34.0%		
5 = Very well	1675	48.3%		

Developing as a Professional Educator

How well did your teacher preparation program prepare you to do each of the following as a teacher?

17. Evaluate the effects of y	our actions on student learning and modify plans	3403	92.0%		
accordingly		DNR 296	8.0%		
1 =	Not at all	7	0.2%	4.27	Mean
2 =	Poorly	57	1.7%	0.79	SD
3 =	Adequately	504	14.8%		
4 =	Well	1264	37.1%		
5 =	Very well	1571	46.2%		
18. Work with families to be	etter understand students and to support their	3407	92.1%		
learning		DNR 292	7.9%		
1 =	Not at all	46	1.4%	3.90	Mean
2 =	Poorly	214	6.3%	0.98	SD
3 =	Adequately	898	26.4%		
4 =	Well	1121	32.9%		
5 =	Very well	1128	33.1%		

Total survey respondents: 3699 Response rate: 97 %

19. Work with colleagues to	improve instruction	3408	92.1%		
19. Work with coneagues to	improve instruction	DNR 291	7.9%		
1 =	Not at all	19	0.6%	4.22	Mean
2 =	Poorly	94	2.8%	0.86	SD
3 =	Adequately	580	17.0%		
4 =	Well	1125	33.0%		
5 =	Very well	1590	46.7%		

Content for Single Subjects

How well did your teacher preparation program prepare you to do each of the following as a teacher?

our couciner proparación prog.	and property you to do due to the removing do d tod				
20. Teach my content area	according to California academic content	3406	92.1%		
standards in my grade(s)		DNR 293	7.9%		
1 =	Not at all	29	0.9%	4.28	Mean
2 =	Poorly	105	3.1%	0.88	SD
3 =	Adequately	477	14.0%		
4 =	Well	1083	31.8%		
5 =	Very well	1712	50.3%		
		3401	91.9%		
21. Contribute to students' subject area	reading skills including comprehension in my	DNR 298	8.1%		
	Not at all	20	0.6%	4 17	
-		118	3.5%	0.88	Mean
2 =		591	17.4%	0.00	SD
3 =	· · ·	1206	35.5%		
4 =			43.1%		
5 =	Very well	1466	43.1%		
22. Enable students to acqu	ire subject matter skills that contribute to future	3403	92.0%		
success in life, college, and		DNR 296	8.0%		
1 =	Not at all	21	0.6%	4.24	Mean
2 =	Poorly	85	2.5%	0.84	SD
3 =	Adequately	510	15.0%		
4 =	Well	1241	36.5%		
5 =	Very well	1546	45.4%		
		3403	92.0%		
23. Anticipate and address out	the needs of students who are at risk of dropping	3403 DNR 296	8.0%		
	Net et ell			2.05	
-	Not at all	79	2.3%		Mean
2 =	·	290	8.5%	1.06	SD
3 =		836	24.6%		
4 =		1039	30.5%		
5 =	Very well	1159	34.1%		

Field Experiences

3408	92.1%
DNR 291	7.9%
2578	69.7%
860	23.2%
255	6.9%
	DNR 291 2578 860

Preliminary Single Subject Credential Program Completer Survey – 2017

Statewide Results

Total survey respondents: 3699 Response rate: 97 %

25. How often did preparation program faculty or staff communicate with you in person or by other means about your teaching practice? 1 = Less than once per month 2 = Once per month 3 = Twice per month 4 = Once per week 5 = 2-3 times per week	3412 DNR 287 112 299	92.2% 7.8% 3.3%		
1 = Less than once per month 2 = Once per month 3 = Twice per month 4 = Once per week	112			
2 = Once per month 3 = Twice per month 4 = Once per week			3 96	Mean
3 = Twice per month 4 = Once per week	200	8.8%	1.19	
4 = Once per week	672	19.7%	1.13	SD
	1134	33.2%		
5 = 25 times per week	927	27.2%		
6 = Daily	268	7.9%		
0 = <u>Duny</u>	200	7.570		
26. How often did preparation program faculty or supervisors observe your	3401	91.9%		
classroom instruction and provide feedback during your clinical practice?	DNR 298	8.1%	2.46	
1 = Once or twice	42	1.2%		Mean
2 = 3-5 times	563	16.6%	1.17	SD
3 = 6-10 times	1504	44.2%		
4 = 11-15 times	655	19.3%		
5 = 16-20 times	353	10.4%		
6 = More than 20 times	284	8.4%		
27. My field experiences helped me integrate and apply the major ideas	3405	92.1%		
developed through program coursework	DNR 294	7.9%		
1 = Strongly Disagree	525	15.4%	3.62	Mean
2 = Disagree	425	12.5%	1.48	SD
3 = Neutral	212	6.2%		
4 = Agree	916	26.9%		
5 = Strongly Agree	1327	39.0%		
28. My cooperating teacher(s) (select all that apply):	3287	88.9%		
28. My cooperating teacher(s) (select all that apply).	DNR 412	11.1%		
Frequently observed my teaching and met with me to	- 122	111170		
offer feedback	2720	73.5%		
Was an excellent educator and a valuable role model	2669	72.2%		
Modeled effective practices	2619	70.8%		
Helped me plan and organize curriculum materials	2451	66.3%		
Offered useful strategies and advice about my teaching	2922	79.0%		
Helped me reflect on my practice	2763	74.7%		
Helped me to solve teaching problems	2742	74.1%		
Was knowledgeable about and able to provide support				
for field-based assignments	2611	70.6%		
	3239	87.6%		
20. Approximately how much time did you apped in student tooching (in the	DNR 460	12.4%		
29. Approximately how much time did you spend in student teaching (in the classroom of a cooperating teacher) as part of your supervised fieldwork?			3 62	
classroom of a cooperating teacher) as part of your supervised fieldwork?		2.4%	3.02	Mean
classroom of a cooperating teacher) as part of your supervised fieldwork? $1 = \frac{\text{Less than 100 hours}}{\text{Less than 100 hours}}$	66	2.4%	1.07	Mean
classroom of a cooperating teacher) as part of your supervised fieldwork? $1 = \frac{1}{100 - 299 \text{ hours}}$	66 320	11.8%	1.07	
classroom of a cooperating teacher) as part of your supervised fieldwork? 1 = Less than 100 hours 2 = 100 - 299 hours 3 = 300 - 599 hours	66 320 910	11.8% 33.5%	1.07	
classroom of a cooperating teacher) as part of your supervised fieldwork? 1 = Less than 100 hours 2 = 100 - 299 hours 3 = 300 - 599 hours 4 = 600 - 799 hours	66 320 910 703	11.8% 33.5% 25.9%	1.07	
classroom of a cooperating teacher) as part of your supervised fieldwork? 1 = Less than 100 hours 2 = 100 - 299 hours 3 = 300 - 599 hours 4 = 600 - 799 hours 5 = 800 hours or more	66 320 910	11.8% 33.5%	1.07	
classroom of a cooperating teacher) as part of your supervised fieldwork? 1 = Less than 100 hours 2 = 100 - 299 hours 3 = 300 - 599 hours 4 = 600 - 799 hours	66 320 910 703	11.8% 33.5% 25.9%	1.07	

Total survey respondents: 3699 Response rate: 97 %

30. Approximately how much time did you spend in <i>an internship</i>				67.2%		
placement as teacher of record as part of your fieldwork?			DNR1213	32.8%		
1 =	Less than 100 ho	ours	15	1.4%	4.11	Mean
2 =	100 - 299 hours		97	9.3%	1.10	SD
3 =	300 - 599 hours		204	19.5%		
4 =	600 - 799 hours		170	16.2%		
5 =	800 hours or mo	re	562	53.6%		
		Does not apply/I was not in an internship *	1438	-		
31. If you served in an internship placement , how often did your assigned mentor observe your classroom instruction and provide feedback 2490 67.3%						
and assistance during your		•	DNR1209	32.7%		
1 =	Once or twice		62	6.4%	3.94	Mean
2 =	3-5 times		135	14.0%	1.57	
3 =	6-10 times		221	22.9%		
4 =	11-15 times		156	16.1%		
5 =	16-20 times		170	17.6%		
6 =	More than 20 tin	nes	223	23.1%		
		Does not apply/I was not in an internship *	1523	-		
32. Overall, how effective was your teacher preparation program at developing the skills or tools you needed to become a teacher?			3354 DNR 345	90.7% 9.3%		
1 =	Not at all effective		31	0.9%	3.44	Mean
-	Somewhat effect		288	8.6%	0.69	
2 = 3 =	Effective		1204	35.9%	0.03	שכ
•	Very effective		1831	54.6%		
4 =	very enective		1031	37.070		

Demographics

22. Annual Hannais and attan-2	3334	90.1%
33. Are you Hispanic or Latino?	DNR 365	9.9%
No, not Hispanic or Latino	2363	70.9%
Yes, Hispanic or Latino	971	29.1%

Total survey respondents: 3699 Response rate: 97 %

	2911	78.7%
34. What is your race? Mark all that apply	DNR 788	21.3%
American Indian or Alaska Native	143	3.9%
Chinese	156	4.2%
Japanese	64	1.7%
Korean	69	1.9%
Vietnamese	58	1.6%
Asian Indian	42	1.1%
Laotian	3	0.1%
Cambodian	9	0.2%
Filipino	104	2.8%
Hmong	4	0.1%
Other Asian	44	1.2%
Black or African American	146	3.9%
Hawaiian	14	0.4%
Guamanian	4	0.1%
Samoan	7	0.2%
Tahitian	2	0.1%
Other Pacific Islander	13	0.4%
White	2406	65.0%

35. What is your gender?

	3354	90.7%
	DNR 345	9.3%
Female	1843	54.9%
Male	1424	42.5%
Decline to state	87	2.6%

Preliminary Single Subject Credential Program Completer Survey - 2017

Response Rate by IHE (Statewide completion rate is 97 %)

# Survey Requests Made	Comple	of Program eters who oonded	Name of Institution
4	4	100 %	ACADEMY OF ART UNIVERSITY
33	32	96.97 %	ALLIANT INTERNATIONAL UNIVERSITY
71	65	91.55 %	AZUSA PACIFIC UNIVERSITY
10	10	100 %	BARD COLLEGE
10	8	80 %	BAY AREA SCHOOL OF ENTERPRISE (REACH INSTITUTE)
17	17	100 %	BIOLA UNIVERSITY
108	103	95.37 %	BRANDMAN UNIVERSITY
1	1	100 %	Cal State TEACH
38	34	89.47 %	CALIFORNIA BAPTIST UNIVERSITY
37	36	97.3 %	CALIFORNIA LUTHERAN UNIVERSITY
77	77	100 %	CALIFORNIA POLYTECHNIC STATE UNIVERSITY, SAN LUIS OBISPO
89	88	98.88 %	CALIFORNIA STATE POLYTECHNIC UNIVERSITY, POMONA
74	73	98.65 %	CALIFORNIA STATE UNIVERSITY, BAKERSFIELD
31	30	96.77 %	CALIFORNIA STATE UNIVERSITY, CHANNEL ISLANDS
58	58	100 %	CALIFORNIA STATE UNIVERSITY, CHICO
50	48	96 %	CALIFORNIA STATE UNIVERSITY, DOMINGUEZ HILLS
40	39	97.5 %	CALIFORNIA STATE UNIVERSITY, EAST BAY
82	81	98.78 %	CALIFORNIA STATE UNIVERSITY, FRESNO
171	168	98.25 %	CALIFORNIA STATE UNIVERSITY, FULLERTON
228	226	99.12 %	CALIFORNIA STATE UNIVERSITY, LONG BEACH
64	63	98.44 %	CALIFORNIA STATE UNIVERSITY, LOS ANGELES
17	17	100 %	CALIFORNIA STATE UNIVERSITY, MONTEREY BAY
89	89	100 %	CALIFORNIA STATE UNIVERSITY, NORTHRIDGE
51	51	100 %	CALIFORNIA STATE UNIVERSITY, SACRAMENTO
108	106	98.15 %	CALIFORNIA STATE UNIVERSITY, SAN BERNARDINO
29	28	96.55 %	CALIFORNIA STATE UNIVERSITY, STANISLAUS
16	16	100 %	CHAPMAN UNIVERSITY
22	21	95.45 %	CLAREMONT GRADUATE UNIVERSITY
25	25	100 %	CONCORDIA UNIVERSITY IRVINE
1	1	100 %	CSU, San Marcos
29	29	100 %	DOMINICAN UNIVERSITY OF CALIFORNIA
49	48	97.96 %	FRESNO PACIFIC UNIVERSITY
14	13	92.86 %	HIGH TECH HIGH
6	6	100 %	HOLY NAMES UNIVERSITY
3	3	100 %	HOPE INTERNATIONAL UNIVERSITY
4	3	<i>75</i> %	HUMBOLDT STATE UNIVERSITY
112	107	95.54 %	LOYOLA MARYMOUNT UNIVERSITY
21	16	76.19 %	MILLS COLLEGE
8	8	100 %	MOUNT SAINT MARY'S UNIVERSITY
13	13	100 %	MT. DIABLO UNIFIED SCHOOL DISTRICT - FORTUNE SCHOOL
280	270	96.43 %	NATIONAL UNIVERSITY
25	24	96 %	NOTRE DAME DE NAMUR UNIVERSITY
3	3	100 %	PACIFIC UNION COLLEGE
9	8	88.89 %	PATTEN UNIVERSITY

Preliminary Single Subject Credential Program Completer Survey - 2017

Response Rate by IHE (Statewide completion rate is 97 %)

# Survey Requests Made	Comple	of Program eters who oonded	Name of Institution
29	27	93.1 %	PEPPERDINE UNIVERSITY
48	46	95.83 %	POINT LOMA NAZARENE UNIVERSITY
3	3	100 %	SACRAMENTO COUNTY OFFICE OF EDUCATION
5	5	100 %	SAN DIEGO CHRISTIAN COLLEGE
110	109	99.09 %	SAN DIEGO STATE UNIVERSITY
72	72	100 %	SAN FRANCISCO STATE UNIVERSITY
1	1	100 %	SAN JOAQUIN COUNTY OFFICE OF EDUCATION
40	38	95 %	SAN JOSE STATE UNIVERSITY
32	32	100 %	SANTA CLARA UNIVERSITY
15	14	93.33 %	SIMPSON UNIVERSITY
26	26	100 %	SONOMA STATE UNIVERSITY
25	24	96 %	ST. MARY'S COLLEGE OF CALIFORNIA
64	60	93.75 %	STANFORD UNIVERSITY
68	65	95.59 %	TEACHERS COLLEGE OF SAN JOAQUIN
5	5	100 %	THE MASTER'S UNIVERSITY
5	4	80 %	TOURO UNIVERSITY
48	48	100 %	TULARE COUNTY OFFICE OF EDUCATION
5	5	100 %	UNITED STATES UNIVERSITY
37	37	100 %	UNIVERSITY OF CALIFORNIA, BERKELEY
79	78	98.73 %	UNIVERSITY OF CALIFORNIA, DAVIS
64	63	98.44 %	UNIVERSITY OF CALIFORNIA, IRVINE
97	94	96.91 %	UNIVERSITY OF CALIFORNIA, LOS ANGELES
69	66	95.65 %	UNIVERSITY OF CALIFORNIA, RIVERSIDE
41	38	92.68 %	UNIVERSITY OF CALIFORNIA, SAN DIEGO
35	31	88.57 %	UNIVERSITY OF CALIFORNIA, SANTA BARBARA
37	37	100 %	UNIVERSITY OF CALIFORNIA, SANTA CRUZ
59	57	96.61 %	UNIVERSITY OF LA VERNE
60	58	96.67 %	UNIVERSITY OF PHOENIX
117	112	95.73 %	UNIVERSITY OF REDLANDS
30	27	90 %	UNIVERSITY OF SAN DIEGO
53	48	90.57 %	UNIVERSITY OF SAN FRANCISCO
65	65	100 %	UNIVERSITY OF SOUTHERN CALIFORNIA
38	35		UNIVERSITY OF THE PACIFIC
26	26	100 %	VANGUARD UNIVERSITY
46	46	100 %	WESTERN GOVERNORS UNIVERSITY
5	5	100 %	WESTMONT COLLEGE
14	14	100 %	WHITTIER COLLEGE
14	12	85.71 %	WILLIAM JESSUP UNIVERSITY